Open.			REPLY REQUESTED			ESTED	8 February 1980		
SPEED 1	LETTER			YES		INO	LETTER NO.	rebrua	ry 1980
ro : C/BSD	······································	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FROM:		<u> </u>		<u></u>	····	·
ATTN:			non,	Н	MA	B/		TATIN	NTL
SUBJECT: Equ	uipment/et of these	c. Reques requests	sts sho	for uld	F b	Y-82 e de	Budget ecided fo	(altho	most 1)
1. Push-butt  2. Final reshave (on monthly of hand-me-down from on the possibilition, if budget all Paula is waiting what he needs, and the needs of the possibility	solution of contract) and CPD. ties for ellows, the for a memond what we	f the wor pproval f ither pur acquireme o from OD will nee	d-p or cha nt P/S d t	roc use se of tev o d	es of a : e :	thi thi more whic (ano	machine e Xerox s machin sophist h will f ther sur	We note that we want to the contract of the co	now ; with the state of the sta
	one more s ctric Type	torage ca writer (f							1 1
4. IBM Selec	ctric Type ce/reorgan	writer (f	or	our	5	th e	mployee)	• 1	
4. IBM Selec	ctric Type	writer (f	or	our	5	th e	mployee)	• 1	
4. IBM Selec	ctric Type ce/reorgan	writer (f	or	our	5	th e	mployee)	• 1	
4. IBM Selec	ctric Type ce/reorgan	writer (f	or	our	5	th e	mployee)	• 1	
4. IBM Selec	ctric Type ce/reorgan	writer (f	or	our	5	th e	mployee)	• 1	
4. IBM Selec	ctric Type ce/reorgan	writer (f	or	our	5	th e	mployee)	• 1	
4. IBM Selec	ctric Type ce/reorgan	writer (f	or	our	5	th e	mployee)	• 1	
4. IBM Selec	ctric Type ce/reorgan	writer (f	or	our	5	th e	mployee)	• 1	
4. IBM Selec	ctric Type ce/reorgan	writer (f	or	our	5	th e	mployee)	• 1	
4. IBM Selec	ctric Type ce/reorgan	writer (f	or	our	5	th e	mployee)	• 1	
4. IBM Selec	ctric Type ce/reorgan	writer (f	or	our	5	th e	mployee)	• 1	
4. IBM Selec	ctric Type ce/reorgan	writer (f	or	our	5	th e	mployee)	• 1	

### Approved For ease 2001/03/23 : CIA-RDP84-688R000200110008-5

- ACCOMPLISHMENT AWARD PROJECTED REQUIREMENTS (FY 1980, FY 1981 and FY 1982)
  - 1. Thus far during FY 1980 we have been operating on the assumption that award actions would be contained within the allocated and approved budget total of S145,000. HOWEVER, from 1 October until the first week in February 1980 (slightly more than four months) a total of \$ 78,640 has been spent for the categories of awards listed above. It is apparent that savings and benefits will grow larger. OPM has just issued a revised Chapter 451, Federal Personnel Manual for comment by agencies. Both the Tangible Awards Scale and Intangible Benefits Guide, if adopted, will result in a higher range of cash awards. Our managers have illustrated a much more determined effort to use incentive awards as a "management tool" and the results for this fiscal year to date prove this. We predict total budget needs for FY 1980 at this stage at \$ 200,000 . The SA/RA Award volume especially has drastically increased and we cannot imagine a decline in such a trend. In early April and again in early July 1980 we may be able to predict a closer figure.
  - 2: FY 1981 Awards Budget Estimate \$250,000.
  - 3. FY 1982 Awards Budget Estimate 3989,000.

STATINTL



11 Feb 1980

### Approved For Release 2001/03/23: CIA-RDP84-00688R000200110008-5

SUGGESTION AND SPECIAL ACHIEVEMENT

-

# Approved For lease 2001/03/23 : CIA-RDP84 688R000200110008-5

B. SUGGESTION AND ACHIEVEMENT AVARDS PROGRAM — EQUIPMENT NEEDS AND OTHER ANTICIPATED COSTS (EXCEPT FOR AWARDS)

#### FY 1982

One (1) DELTA DATA 7000 MICROPROCESSOR COMPUTER - Terminal with Resident Storage Capability (Floppy Disc)

Estimated cost - \$15,000

This system is like a Mini Computer and would take care of our computer needs and at the same time enable us to type and print form letters, do drafts, make corrections, etc., similar to the features of VYDEC and NBI equipment. (This equipment is now available in Headquarters Building for demonstration.)

The system was recommended by AlteSTEATINTL DDA Committee Member (Exec Officer, CDP) who understands the needs of our program objectives.

One Small Paper Shredder - needed to shred agenda from Committee Meetings, Computer runs, personnel listings, etc., which to date have taken much time. - Cost \$600

PON.

80

## Approved Formelease 2001/03/23 : CIA-RDP8400688R000200110008-5

#### Contract Personnel Division

#### Non-Official Cover Employees

STATINTL

The increase in the Agency's Non-Official Cover Program administered through has resulted in a corresponding increase in CPD's workload. A new NOC contract, designed especially for non U.S. citizen officers, was developed by CPD in conjunction with OGC and Additional ef STATINTL the area of special benefits such as NOC Premium Pay for NOC officers will require the preparation of contract amendments or Staff Agent supplements both of which are the responsibilities of CPD. These initiatives in support of the DDO's NOC Program are being absorbed without increase in the staffing of CPD.

#### Annuitants

The modest increase in the overall total of annuitants from 490 to 562 during the past year has been accompanied by a significant increase in CPD workload. This has resulted from the rapid turnover of annuitants, many of whom are engaged to complete special projects or to provide continuity until their expertise can be transferred to a suitable staff replacement. This increased workload is being handled by the present staff of CPD.

#### Career Trainees

A significant increase in contracting activity has resulted from the policy of initially hiring Career Trainees under contract in order to provide additional compensation to offset travel costs. Since these employees are converted to staff status following the completion of their training, the additional workload is not reflected as a net gain in contract population.

HIGHLIGHTS OF FY 1982 PROGRAM CALL

- 1. We are no longer a stand-alone decision unit but are now a part of the Support Services decision unit, which also includes O/Finance, OMS, and O/DDA. Reasoning behind this--previously there were 64 Agency decision units, there are now 42. It is felt that small packages without a central theme are difficult to sell. It is hoped that the realignment of decision units will emphasize larger and more meaningful decision packages that will be easier to defend.
- 2. Office Overview Each office is asked to prepare an overview that will be incorporated into an overall directorate decision unit overview. The office overview should convey the central theme or overall direction of the office program. See attachment for specific questions to be covered in the office overview.
- 3. We will use an FY 1981 base of (our FY 81 budget be SFATURETL \$625,000 cut). This gives us the potential for a better in FY 1982.
- 4. Minimum Level 80% of the resources approved for the 1981 program.

DESIVATIVE CLEY 308235

Approved For Release 2001/03/23 CIA-RDP84-00688R000200110008-5

### Approved For Release 2001/03/23 : CIA-RDP84-00688R000200110008-5

- 5. Austere Level Recommend only one austere package with at least \$500,000 in funds. Only offices with \$5 million or more at the austere level should consider more than one austere package.
  Could include remaining 10% of the positions.
- 6. Current Level Should contain \$500,000 or more of funds. Approximate size is 5% of total 1981 resources.

Recommend no positions in the current level.

7. Enhanced Level - New initiatives and expansion of on-going programs or alternatives to existing activities.

# Approved For Release 2001/03/23 : CIA-RDP84-00688R000200110008-5

Office Overview. Each office is being asked to prepare a concise textual summary of its planned program for 1952 as an adjunct to its ZBB presentations and traditional budget data. This summary should reflect the considered views and decisions of top management in the office with respect to its plans for 1982. In particular, the office overview should convey the central theme or overall direction of the office program. More specifically, it should address such questions as:

- a. What office goals are being pursued?
- b. What key issues have resource implications for 1982?
- c. What are the office's major resource needs? (e.g. people, space, contract money, travel/training funds, R&D, etc.)
- d. What is the expected impact on your overall program at the current level versus the enhanced?
- e. What trade-offs have already been made in terms of activities being dropped or reduced in favor of new endeavors?
- f. What additional options are there for curtailing, deferring, or stretching out ongoing or planned programs if funding levels are held to a zero-growth level or less?
- g. Which planned programs or activities have significant resource implications in the outyears and what are these?
- h. What is the projection for major program changes in the outyears and are there any significant funding requirements.

